UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN DIVISION

CARLOS E. MOORE :

PLAINTIFF,

REPRESENTATIVE KARL OLIVER : No. 3:17-cv-408-DPJ-FKB

AND

REPRESENTATIVE JOHN READ

AND

V.

REPRESENTATIVE DOUG MCLEOD

AND

TONY DUNN

DEFENDANTS.

No. 3.1/-CV-408-DPJ-FKF

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1. This action is brought to remedy defendants' violations of 42 U.S.C. § 1985 (2) which makes it unlawful, <u>inter alia</u>, for "two or more persons" to "conspire to deter, by force, intimidation, or threat any party or witness in any court of the United States from attending such court or from testifying to any matter pending, therein freely, fully, and truthfully" and for relief under Mississippi common law. It is also brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 to remedy defendants' violations, in their official capacities as officers of the state of Mississippi, of plaintiff's right of free speech and his right "to petition the Government for a redress of

grievances" under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.

- 2. Plaintiff Carlos E. Moore is a resident of Grenada, Mississippi and is a licensed attorney in the state of Mississippi. He is the plaintiff in Moore v. Bryant, No. 3:16-cv-00151 (S.D. Miss.) and the appellant in No. 16-60616 (5th Cir.). The district court dismissed his case for lack of standing and the Fifth Circuit affirmed. Mr. Moore intends to file a petition for a writ of certiorari from the 5th Circuit's opinion on or before June 29, 2017. In Moore v. Bryant, Mr. Moore is seeking a declaration that the Mississippi state flag, which pays homage to the Confederate battle flag, is an unconstitutional endorsement of white supremacy and glorification of slavery and the subrogation of African-Americans, in violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. He also seeks an injunction barring the Governor and the state Superintendent of Education from continuing to fly such flag throughout Mississippi in and near state offices, state courtrooms, and all public schools.
- 3. Defendant Karl Oliver, a resident of Winona, Mississippi, is an elected member of the Mississippi House of Representatives.
- 4. Defendant John Read, a resident of Gautier, Mississippi, is an elected member of the Mississippi House of Representatives.
- 5. Defendant Doug McLeod, a resident of Lucedale, Mississippi, is an elected member of the Mississippi House of Representatives.
- 6. Defendant Tony Dunn is the Public Affairs Officer for the Mississippi Highway Patrol and believed to be a resident of Greenwood, Mississippi.

7. On March 31, 2017 Defendant Oliver celebrated the 5th Circuit's decision affirming the dismissal of Mr. Moore's lawsuit by stating on his Facebook page:

FLAG DECLARED WINNER

A federal appeals court has blocked a Mississippi man's [i.e. Mr. Moore's] effort to remove the Confederate battle emblem from the state flag.

- 8. Beginning in March, 2017 and continuing into May, 2017 the city of New Orleans, acting pursuant to a city ordinance, removed four large monuments from city property. These included statues of Confederate Generals Robert E. Lee, and P. J.T. Beauregard, and Confederate President Jefferson Davis, and an obelisk erected in 1891 to honor the "crescent City White League" and its racially motivated revolt against the biracial post-Civil War government of New Orleans.
- 9. On or about May 20, 2017, Defendant Oliver posted the following on his Facebook page:

The destruction of these monuments, erected in the loving memory of our family and fellow Southern Americans is both heinous and horrific. If the, and I use this term extremely loosely, "leadership" of Louisiana wishes to, in a Nazi-ish fashion, burn books or destroy historical monuments of OUR HISTORY, they should be LYNCHED! Let it be known, I will do all in my power to prevent this from happening in our State [i.e. Mississippi].

A copy of Mr. Oliver's Facebook posting is attached as Exhibit A.

- 10. Defendants Read, McLeod and Dunn conspired with Defendant Oliver on or about May 21, 2017 by overtly and explicitly joining in the above-quoted threat through Facebook and other means. The defendants acted in concert for a common purpose.
- 11. The Defendants intended that their postings would be widely disseminated through social media and the press and would be received by Mr. Moore and others.

- 12. The postings were intended to communicate a death threat to anyone in Mississippi who might take action to lawfully secure the removal of government-sponsored Confederate monuments, imagery or insignia in Mississippi, including the Mississippi state flag. More specifically, it was intended as a threat to have Mr. Moore LYNCHED for his diligent and persistent efforts, through the United States courts since early 2016, to remove Mississippi's prominent and flagrant glorification of the goals of the Confederacy, including slavery and white supremacy, in its state flag. And it was intended to incite violence by third parties against Mr. Moore and others in Mississippi who have taken, or may take, action to lawfully secure the removal of government-sponsored Confederate monuments, imagery, or insignia, including the Mississippi state flag.
- 13. Defendants have been keenly aware of Mr. Moore's lawsuit and have celebrated its lack of success thus far. They are, on information and belief, aware that he will be asking the Supreme Court to hear his case. There is no other prominent and active effort to remove Confederate imagery or monuments in Mississippi and Defendants' reference to LYNCHING and preventing "this from happening in our State" was an intentional and specific death threat directed at Mr. Moore, intended to intimidate him from seeking Supreme Court review, or take any other legal steps to challenge the flying of the Mississippi state flag. It was further intended, by inciting violence by third parties, to chill and deter Mr. Moore and others from exercising their free speech rights and their right to petition the government for a redress of grievances, specifically to seek redress for the state of Mississippi's continued labeling of African-Americans as second class citizens.
- 14. Defendants actions, in purposefully advocating the LYNCHING of persons involved in seeking the removal of government-sponsored Confederate symbols and monuments

in Mississippi and in inciting third party acts of violence, were also designed to intentionally inflict emotional distress on Mr. Moore by causing him to reasonably fear for his safety and the safety of his family. Mr. Moore has now been injured because he now reasonably fears for his safety, the safety of his family, and the safety of his office staff and others, as a result of the aforementioned actions of the Defendants.

- 15. In addition to being a violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1985, and 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and the First Amendment, and Mississippi common law, all of which provide for civil remedies, including injunctive relief, defendants' conduct violates 18 U.S.C. §§ 1512 and 1513 which make it a crime to use a "threat of physical force ... with intent to "influence, delay, or prevent the testimony of any person in an official proceeding" or "cause or induce any person to "withhold a record, document, or other object from an official proceeding" or to "retaliate" against any person for having brought or testified in any official proceeding." It also violates Mississippi criminal law prohibiting witness intimidation and threats of violence, as specified at Mississippi Code, sections 97-9-113, 97-3-85, and 97-45-17.
 - 16. Mr. Moore therefore asks this Court for the following relief:
- (i) An injunction against defendants prohibiting them from conveying, directly or indirectly, further threats against Mr. Moore or any other person who may seek by lawful means to have state sponsored Confederate monuments, symbols, or imagery removed, in whole or in part, from Mississippi;
- (ii) An injunction against defendants prohibiting them from taking, or in any way encouraging others to take, any action against Mr. Moore or any other person on account of

their efforts in seeking, by lawful means, to have state sponsored Confederate monuments, symbols, or imagery removed, in whole or in part, from Mississippi;

- (iii) An order requesting that the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Mississippi fully investigate whether defendants have committed the crimes prohibited by 18 U.S.C. §§ 1503, 1512 and 1513, or in other federal criminal laws, and to take appropriate action;
- (iv) An order requesting the Attorney General of the State of Mississippi to investigate whether defendants have committed the crimes prohibited by Miss. Code section 97-9-13, 97-3-85, 97-45-17 or in other Mississippi criminal laws, and to take appropriate action;
- (v) An order, intended to diminish the likelihood of future similar violations, requiring defendants to read (a) *The Blood of Emmett Till* by Timothy Tyson and (b) *At The Hands of Persons Unknown: The Lynching of Black America* by Phillip Dray and to submit with 30 days, written summaries of each book of not less than 3,000 words each, to the Court;
- (vi) An order awarding Plaintiff damages for defendants' intentional, and/or negligent, infliction of emotional distress;
- (vii) An order assessing punitive damages against defendants in amounts sufficient to punish them for intentional wrongdoing and to deter others from similar conduct;
- (viii) An order awarding Plaintiff all attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action.

s/ Carlos E. Moore

Carlos E. Moore
Tucker Moore Group, LLP
P.O. Box 1487
Grenada, MS 38902
662-227-9940 – phone
662-227-9941 - fax
MSB (#100685)
carlos@tuckermoorelaw.com

Charles E. Lawrence III Lawrence Law Group P.O. Box 1624 Hattiesburg, MS 39403 MSB (#103509) celawjr@gmail.com

Michael T. Scott Reed Smith LLP Three Logan Square 1717 Arch Street, Suite 3100 Philadelphia, PA 19103 mscott@reedsmith.com (pro hac vice motion to be filed)

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Carlos E. Moore